



# Visual processing in children with dyslexia and children with autism

#### **Dr Cathy Manning**

School of Psychology & Clinical Language Sciences, University of Reading Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford

c.a.manning@reading.ac.uk





#### Overview of the talk

- Background to visual processing in dyslexia
- Background to visual processing in autism
- Our study
- Research priorities
- Q&A

#### Overview of the talk

- Background to visual processing in dyslexia
- Background to visual processing in autism
- Our study
- Research priorities
- Q&A

# Visual processing in dyslexia: an obvious starting point?



"Congenital word blindness"

Percy F., 14-year-old boy with reading difficulties:

"He seems to have no power of preserving and storing up the visual impression produced by words – hence, the words, though seen, have no significance for him... His eyes are normal... his eyesight is good" (William Pringle Morgan, 1896)

# Visual processing in dyslexia: an obvious starting point?



Samuel T. Orton (1930s)

Letter reversals (e.g., b / d) due to differences in how the two halves of the brain function

(no longer thought to be true, Lachmann & Geyer 2003)

Visual differences in dyslexia are not restricted to words

# Visual processing in dyslexia: a contemporary theory



Magnocellular / dorsal stream: "where" pathway

Parvocellular / ventral stream: "what" pathway

Figure reproduced from Sheth & Young, 2016 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2016.00037

Lovegrove, 1984; Stein 2001, 2019

# Visual processing in dyslexia: a contemporary theory



Figure reproduced from Sheth & Young, 2016 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2016.00037">https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2016.00037</a>

Lovegrove, 1984; Stein 2001, 2019

# Visual processing in dyslexia: a contemporary theory

Visual motion processing relies on the dorsal/magnocellular system





Dyslexic people have difficulties in motion coherence tasks (Benassi et al., 2010)

### Causal or not?

#### Yes!

- Children with dyslexia are less sensitive to motion information even before they learn to read (Boets et al., 2011; Gori et al., 2016)
- Training the magnocellular-dorsal pathway leads to improved reading in those with dyslexia (Gori et al., 2016)

#### No!

- Not a strong relationship between magnocellular/dorsal functioning and reading
- Not everyone with dyslexia has motion processing difficulties (Conlon et al., 2012)
- Magnocellular/dorsal functioning improves after reading intervention (Olulade et al., 2013)



#### Causal or not?

- The jury is still out...
- Differences in visual tasks can tell us about how the brain works differently in dyslexic individuals
- Difficulties with processing motion information could have implications for children's lives







#### Overview of the talk

- Background to visual processing in dyslexia
- Background to visual processing in autism
- Our study
- Research priorities
- Q&A

### Visual processing in autism

 Another developmental condition but with a distinct profile



Sensory symptoms

Sensory symptoms: hyper-reactivity, hypo-reactivity, sensory seeking

### Visual processing in autism

- Attention to detail at expense of whole (Frith, 1989)
- Atypical development of magnocellular-dorsal stream (Braddick et al., 2003)



Autistic people have difficulties in motion coherence tasks, like in dyslexia

(van der Hallen et al., 2019)

#### Open question

Both dyslexic and autistic people show differences in motion processing, but is it for the same reasons?

nb. Currently few studies directly compare the two developmental conditions

#### Multiple stages of processing



Sensory encoding

Accumulating evidence

Making a decision

Making a response

#### Multiple stages of processing



Sensory encoding

Accumulating evidence

Making a decision

Making a response

Which stages are affected in dyslexia? Does this differ in autism?

#### Overview of the talk

- Background to visual processing in dyslexia
- Background to visual processing in autism
- Our study
- Research priorities
- Q&A

# Techniques that can tell us about different processing stages







#### The task







#### The task



#### Participant characteristics

Age	
Sex	

IQ – Verbal

IQ – Performance

TOWRE Phonemic Decoding Efficiency (PDE) WIAT Spelling

**Composite score** 

TYPICALLY DEVELOPING (n = 50)

10.65 (6.55 – 14.98) 28 M 22 F

110.60 (95 - 127)

109.30 (81 - 145)

111.20 (81 - 153)

105.70 (80 - 127)

108.50 (89.5 - 138.0)

(n = 50)11.08 (7.81 – 14.53) 24 M 26 F 98.56 (77 - 118) 99.40 (72 – 141) 79.16 (51 – 99) 77.86 (58 - 99)

DYSLEXIC

#### + 50 AUTISTIC children

78.51 (54.5 - 89.0)

#### Comparing behavioural responses



### Comparing behavioural responses



Dyslexic children are slightly slower and less accurate than typical children

Mathematical modelling: dyslexic children are slower to pick up information in both tasks compared to typical children

### Comparing behavioural responses



No clear differences between autistic and typically developing children's performance

Mathematical modelling: autistic children are very similar to typically developing children



### EEG



### Visual processing (back of the head)



Decision-making (centre of head)



## EEG – visual processing

notion coherence
task



motion integration task



Group differences at later timepoints – and only in the motion coherence task – reflecting difficulties filtering out visual noise for both autistic and dyslexic children?



## EEG – decision-making



Group differences in brain activity for both tasks around the time of the response. Relates to mathematical model



## EEG – decision-making



Also differences in autism group around the time of response

### Summary of dyslexia findings

- Dyslexic children are slightly slower and make slightly more errors than typically developing children
- The mathematical model suggests that this is because dyslexic children are less sensitive to motion information
- Dyslexic children also differ from typical children in their brain activity
- Early responses to motion information are unaffected, but differences emerge in later processing stages linked to ignoring visual noise, making decisions and responses

### Comparing dyslexia and autism

- Both similarities and differences between autistic and dyslexic children
- Suggests that there are areas of overlap in these two developmental conditions, but also areas of divergence
- Important for understanding the role atypical visual processing plays in these two conditions
- Still work to be done on understanding variability within a condition

### Summary

- Visual processing is atypical in dyslexic children e.g., reduced sensitivity to visual motion information
- Dyslexic children pick up motion information more slowly, and have difficulties ignoring visual noise
- We find neural markers of these differences in EEG

### Implications and future research

- Not just reading affected in dyslexia differences in visual processing in non-reading tasks
- Visual processing differences may not be causal... but still potentially important
- Helps us understand how the brain develops differently in children with dyslexia
- Does this difference in picking up information extend to other tasks?
- How do other skills (e.g., processing speed, cognitive ability) relate to decision-making and reading difficulties?
- Can we train decision-making to improve reading ability?

#### Implications and future research



<u>How moving dots are helping us learn more about dyslexia</u> <u>in children – new research (theconversation.com)</u>

#### Overview of the talk

- Background to visual processing in dyslexia
- Background to visual processing in autism
- Our study
- Research priorities
- Q&A

#### **Research priorities**

- Lack of research into what the dyslexia community want researched
- Current research may therefore not align with the dyslexia community's priorities
- Currently, UK research predominantly focuses on biology, brain and cognition
- Focus groups with dyslexia community need more research in other areas
- Survey to be launched in coming months

Q&A

### Thanks to the participants, families, schools and organisations who took part

Irina Lepadatu and the Oxford BabyLab Helena Wood Dhea Bengardi Madeleine Mills Amber Heaton

#### **Collaborators:**

Nathan Evans Cameron Hassall Laurence Hunt Tony Norcia Gaia Scerif Maggie Snowling Lisa Toffoli

c.a.manning@reading.ac.uk



